

Reprinted from Rickettsiology: Current Issues and Perspectives Volume 590 of the Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences June 26, 1990

Susceptibility of *Coxiella burnetii* to Chemical Disinfectants

GEORGE H. SCOTT^a AND JIM C. WILLIAMS^{a,b}

^aUnited States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases Department of Intracellular Pathogens Bacteriology Division Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701-5011

and

bOffice of the Director of Intramural Research Programs
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
Bethesda, Maryland 20892







The resistance of Coxiella burnetii to physical and chemical stress is well documented 1.2 Compared with vegetative bacteria and other rickettsiae, this hardy microorganism resists elevated temperatures, desiccation, osmotic shock, ultraviolet light, and chemical disinfectants. The environmental stability of C. burnetii is perhaps related to (i) the sporulation process, which produces endogenous spores and small dense cells, and (ii) the metabolic dormancy of C. burnetii at neutral pH.5 C. burnetii is very stable and easily transmitted in aerosols. Estimates of infectivity for humans range from 1 to 10 microorganisms. Survival of the microorganisms for long periods in contaminated foods, buildings, and pastures has resulted in human and animal infections.

We became acutely aware of the resistance of *C. burnetii* to disinfectants during the production of a new-generation vaccine. Formalin treatment of purified *C. burnetii*, followed by chloroform-methanol extraction at 53°C, failed to destroy infectivity completely. As chemical disinfectants are not acceptable in vaccines, we resorted to gamma irradiation of vaccine materials and diagnostic reagents to ensure sterility. These considerations stimulated us to reevaluate the effectiveness of some commonly used laboratory disinfectants.

Adequate disinfection of *C. burnetii* is commonly based on the assumption that disinfectants and procedures sufficient to inactivate *Bacillus* spores will also destroy *C. burnetii* infectivity. Our findings show that the susceptibility of *C. burnetii* to disinfectants does not equate with that of *Bacillus* spores. *C. burnetii* seeded onto membrane filters and exposed overnight to humidified formaldehyde gas or ethylene oxide within a small, sealed chamber were inactivated. However, formaldehyde gas failed to consistently inactivate more than 10^{4.6} *C. burnetii* in a large (5600 ft³) room without humidity control; but 10⁶ *Bacillus* spores similarly treated were inactivated. Liquid suspensions of 10⁸ *C. burnetii* in 0.5% sodium hypochlorite, 2% Roccal, 5% Lysol, or 5% formalin were infectious after 24 h at 25°C. Similar suspensions in 70% ethyl alcohol, 5% chloroform, or 5% Enviro-Chem resulted in the inactivation of *C. burnetii* within 30 min.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Appropried for public release;

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Test Cultures

Coxiella burnetii, Nine Mile strain in phase I, cloned in cell culture (9MIC7), were grown in yolk sacs of embryonated chicken eggs. Infected membranes were blended to a 50% (w/v) suspension in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stored at -70° C. Microorganisms were separated from infected yolk sacs by Renografin density gradient centrifugation.

Chemical Disinfectants

Lysol (*O*-phenylphenol, 2.80%; *O*-benzyl-*p*-chlorophenol, 2.70%; alcohol, 1.80%; xylenols, 1.5%; isopropyl alcohol, 0.90%; tetrasodium ethyl-diamine tetra-acetate, 0.76%; and soap, 16.5%), 11% sodium hypochlorite, formalin (40% formaldehyde), and chloroform were obtained from ordinary commercial sources. Alcide, an acidified sodium chlorite solution, was obtained from Alcide Corporation, Norwalk, CT; Enviro-Chem, a mixture of *N*-alkyl dimethyl benzyl (2.25%), and ethylbenzal (2.25%) ammonium chlorides was from Chem Sales, Ellicott City, MD; paraformaldehyde (flake) was from Celanese Chem Co., New York, NY; Oxyfume 12 (12% ethylene oxide, 88% dichlorodifluoromethane) was from Union Carbide Corp., Danbury, CT; Roccal (alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride, 10%; ethyl alcohol, 1.25%) was from Sterling Drug Service, Montvale, NJ.

The purified C. burnetii was diluted to 10^8 infectious microorganisms per ml of disinfectant and held static at room temperature (24°C). After selected contact periods, the microorganisms were sedimented by centrifugation at $8000 \times g$ for 30 min. The disinfectant solution was discarded, and the microorganisms were resuspended to their initial 20-ml volume in brain-heart-infusion (BHI) broth.

Gas Sterilization

The effectiveness of formaldehyde gas inactivation of *C. burnetii* and *B. subtilis* spores was tested by depolymerizing crystalline paraformaldehyde in a fry pan at 425°F. The microorganisms were diluted in BHI, seeded onto membrane filters, and permitted to dry in a class II biological safety cabinet (BSC) at concentrations ranging from 10^{4.6} to 10^{9.6} infectious *C. burnetii* per filter. Replicate filters with each concentration were exposed within a 5600-ft³ laboratory room, a sealed 45-ft³ class II BSC, and a sealed 88-ft³ chamber. Filter paper patches seeded with 10⁶ *B. subtilis* var. *niger* spores were simultaneously exposed within each facility. Samples were exposed to the formaldehyde gas overnight at room temperature, then removed and blended in BHI for sterility assays.

Filters seeded with C. burnetii were exposed to ethylene oxide gas in a modified 4-ft³ autoclave. Water-saturated paper toweling, approximately 10×14 in, was placed in the autoclave chamber to provide moisture. The chamber was evacuated to -20 in Hg, then pressurized to +5 in Hg with Oxyfume 12. After overnight exposure (17 h) at room temperature (24°C), the samples were prepared for sterility assays.

Infectivity Assays

Treated samples were subpassaged in chick embryo yolk sacs and in mice. Ten 5-day-old chick embryos were each injected with 0.3 ml of sample. After incubation at 36°C for 8 d, the yolk-sacs were harvested and blended to a 50% (w/v) suspension in BHI; 0.5 ml was injected into each of five mice. After 4 weeks, individual mice were tested for seroconversion by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). 10

Two serial passages of treated C. burnetii were also carried out in mice. For each sample five mice were injected intraperitoneally with 0.5 ml of the sample. Eight days later, each mouse spleen was triturated in 5 ml of BHI, the five suspensions were pooled, and another five mice were injected with the pooled material. The five mice injected with second-passage mouse spleens were tested for seroconversion after 4 weeks. Detection of anti-C. burnetii antibody in serum from any mouse indicated that at least some infectious C. burnetii survived the disinfection procedure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The suspension of C. burnetii in aqueous solutions of Alcide, 0.5% hypochlorite, 5% Lysol, 5% formalin, or 2% Roccal did not completely inactivate infectious C. burnetii after 24 h at 24°C (Table 1). The number of surviving microorganisms in each sample was not quantitated, as we were only interested in assaying for the complete inactivation of C. burnetii by the respective disinfectants. The assays were designed to detect small numbers of infective C. burnetii. Results indicated that at least a few infectious C. burnetii escaped destruction in each solution, even though the tested disinfectant concentrations exceeded those recommended for general laboratory disinfection. By contrast, similar concentrations of C. burnetii were completely inactivated within 30 min by either 70% ethyl alcohol, 5% chloroform, or 5% Enviro-Chem.

Overnight exposure to humidified (80% relative humidity) formaldehyde gas, generated by depolymerizing paraformaldehyde at a rate of 0.3 g/ft³ of space within a sealed 88-ft³ chamber, effectively inactivated 10⁸ C. burnetii on the surface of membrane filters (Table 2). However, in a large (5600 ft³) laboratory room without positive humidity control, filters with more than 10^{4.6} C. burnetii were not consistently sterilized (Table 3). Depolymerization of twice the amount of paraformaldehyde (0.6 g/ft³) within a closed, 45-ft³ class II BSC at unknown relative humidity failed to consistently sterilize samples seeded with >10^{7.6} C. burnetii. Overnight exposure to humidified 12% ethylene oxide gas at 24°C sterilized filters seeded with 10⁸ C. burnetii (Table 2). Filters seeded with 10⁶ Bacillus spores and subjected to the same test environments were all sterilized.

These data emphasize the resistance of *C. burnetii* to chemical disinfectants. The observed resistance in our test preparations of *C. burnetii*, which were completely free of host components, indicates that disinfection of samples containing organic matter would be formidable. Thus, contaminated birth tissues, fluids of parturient animal samples, and food products could neutralize the germicidal activity of efficacious disinfectants. Increased temperature and low pH are known to enhance the effectiveness of many disinfectants. However, such conditions are not always acceptable alternatives for disinfecting research facilities or animal

TABLE 1. Inactivation of C. burnetii Suspended in Disinfectant Solutions

	Infectivity after Contact Time ^b		
Disinfectant ^a	0.5 h	24 h	
Alcide (10:1:1) ^c	1/2	2/2	
Sodium hypochlorite (0.5%)	2/2	2/2	
Lysol (5%)	2/2	2/2	
Roccal (2%)	2/2	2/2	
Formalin (5%)	2/2	2/2	
Ethyl alcohol (70%)	0/2	0/2	
Chloroform (5%)	0/2	0/2	
Enviro-Chem (5%)	0/2	0/2	
None	2/2	2/2	

^a Active ingredients are listed in MATERIALS AND METHODS.

^b Number of infectious samples/number tested. Test suspensions initially contained 10⁸ infectious *C. burnetii* per ml of disinfectant solution.

'10 parts water: 1 part base: 1 part activator, prepared as per manufacturer's instructions.

quarters contaminated with *C. burnetii*. The aqueous solutions of hypochlorite, formalin, Roccal, and Lysol tested did not inactivate high concentrations of *C. burnetii*, but perhaps more concentrated disinfectant solutions, or solutions containing alcohol, would be more effective. Ethyl alcohol (70%) was effective but, of course, evaporation would render it ineffectual.

The developmental cycle of *C. burnetii* produces large cells, with and without endogenous spore forms, and small dense cells. The proportion of the morphologically different forms carrying the endogenous spore is unknown. Each of these cell types may differ in its sensitivity to disinfectants. Samples containing high concentrations of organisms were more difficult to sterilize; it is likely that these samples contained larger numbers of the endogenous spore forms which are known to be difficult to inactivate.

TABLE 2. Inactivation of C. burnetii by Gaseous Formaldehyde and Ethylene Oxide

Treatment	Test Space (ft ³)	Amount of Disinfectant	Relative Humidity (%)	No. C. burnetii	Residual Infectivity
Autoclaved*	NA	NAc	NA	105	0/2
				10 ⁸	0/2
None	4.0	None	>50	105	2/2
				10 ⁸	2/2
Paraformaldehyde ^d	88.0	26.4 g	80	103	0/4
		-		108	0/4
Ethylene oxide ^d	4.0	12% in Freon-12	>50	105	0/4
				108	0/4

^a Number of infectious samples/total tests conducted.

b Membrane filters seeded with C. burnetii were autoclaved at 250°F and 21 psi for 15 min.

NA, not applicable.

d After 17-h contact with the humidified gas at 24°C, filter samples were aseptically transferred to sterile BHI broth for viability assays.

Known germicidal mechanisms of chemical disinfectants for vegetative bacterial cells include the gross coagulation of cell proteins by strong disinfectants (strong solutions of formalin), less obvious denaturation of essential enzymes, rapid lysis of the bacterial cell, leakage of cell constituents without lysis (phenolic compounds), and more subtle mechanisms such as the oxidation of enzymes (iodine), and the gradual alteration of cell membranes by quaternary ammonium compounds. A single disinfectant may exert several of these effects, depending on the concentration of the disinfectant and the type of microorganisms. Without doubt, several of the mechanisms are applicable to the large C. burnetii cells, but the "cidal" mechanisms effective for the endogenous spore form, or for the small dense cells of C. burnetii, are not known. Perhaps, as with Bacillus spores, cellwall composition and thickness, and water content of the variant cell types are important.

Both formaldehyde and ethylene oxide gas effectively sterilized C. burnetii when high atmospheric moisture levels were maintained. The failure of formaldehyde gas to sterilize samples consistently in the larger room or biological safety

TABLE 3. Formaldehyde Gas Inactivation of *C. burnetii* on the Surface of Filters in a Large (Room) and Small (Class II BSC) Test Space

Infectious C. burnetii on Untreated Filters	Residual Infectivity ^a		
	Room (5600 ft ³)	Class II BSC (45 ft ³)	
109.6	4/4	2/4	
108.6	4/4	1/4	
107.6	0/4	0/4	
106.6	2/4	0/4	
105.6	1/4	0/4	
104.6	0/4	0/4	

^a Paraformaldehyde was depolymerized by heat at a rate of 0.3 g per ft³ of room or 0.6 g per ft³ of class II BSC space. Samples were exposed for 17 h at 24°C. The number of filters with infectious C. burnetii per number tested is shown.

cabinet emphasizes the need to establish appropriate moisture control. Although we raised the humidity by generating steam in the room, the humidity was not monitored or controlled in this experiment. Because formaldehyde does not inactivate C. burnetii consistently, we cannot recommend its use for sterilization. Although formaldehyde penetrates membranes, penetration of the large cells containing endogenous spores is probably not completely effective. Therefore, the few mature endogenous spores that escape cross-linking of their surface by formaldehyde are likely to cause infection in various hosts. The importance of hydrating contaminating microorganisms at the time of ethylene oxide disinfection has been demonstrated.¹³ It was reported that non-uniform reactions of dried bacterial cells with ethylene oxide gas occurred, but uniformity of reactions could be restored by rehydrating the cells. Others¹⁴ found that prehumidification of the area to be decontaminated was more effective in attaining sterility than was the simultaneous dissemination of water vapor and gas. Our findings and other available information emphasize the requirement to provide mechanisms to generate, monitor, and control the relative humidity in those areas to be subjected to gaseous disinfection.

REFERENCES

- ORMSBEE, R. A. 1970. Q fever rickettsia. In Viral and Rickettsial Infections of Man, 4th ed. F. L. Horsfall & I. Tamm, Eds.: 1144-1160. J. B. Lippincott Co. Philadelphia.
- 2. BABUDIERI, B. 1959. Q fever: A zoonosis. Adv. Vet. Sci. 5: 81-182.
- McCaul, T. F., T. Hackstadt & J. C. Williams. 1981. Ultrastructural and biological aspects of Coxiella burnetii under physical disruptions. In Rickettsiae and Rickettsial Diseases. W. Burgdorfer & R. I. Anacker, Eds. Vol. 103: 267-279. Academic Press. New York.
- MALLOCH, R. A. & M. G. P. STOKER. 1952. Studies on the susceptibility of Rickettsia burnetii to chemical disinfectants, and on techniques for detecting small numbers of viable organisms. J. Hyg. 50: 502-514.
- McCaul, T. F. & J. C. Williams. 1981. Developmental cycle of Coxiella burnetii: Structure and morphogenesis of vegetative and sporogenic differentiations. J. Bacteriol. 147: 1063-1076.
- HACKSTADT, T. & J. C. WILLIAMS. 1981. Biochemical stratagem for obligate parasitism of eukaryotic cells by Coxiella burnetii. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78: 3240-3744
- TIGERTT, W. D., A. S. BENENSON & W. S. GOCHENOUR. 1961. Airborne Q fever. Bacteriol. Rev. 25: 285-293.
- 8. Scott, G. H., T. F. McCaul & J. C. Williams. 1989. Inactivation of Coxiella burnetii by gamma irradiation. J. Gen. Microbiol. 135: 3263-3270.
- WILLIAMS, J. C., M. PEACOCK & T. F. McCAUL. 1981. Immunological and biological characterization of Coxiella burnetii, phases I & II, separated from host components. Infect. Immun. 32: 840-851.
- WILLIAMS, J. C., L. A. THOMAS & M. G. PEACOCK. 1986. Humoral immune response to Q fever: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay antibody response to Coxiella burnetii in experimently infected guinea pigs. J. Clin. Microbiol. 24: 935-939.
- IGNATOVICH, V. F. 1959. The course of inactivation of Rickettsia burnetii in fluid media. Zh. Mikrobiol. Epidemiol. 30: 111-116.
- PERKINS, J. J. 1969. Chemical disinfection. In Principles and Methods of Sterilization in Health Sciences, 2nd ed. J. J. Perkins, Ed.: 327-344. Charles C. Thomas. Springfield, IL.
- GILBERT, G. L., V. M. GAMBILL, D. R. SPINER, R. K. HOFFMAN & C. R. PHILLIPS. 1964. Effect of moisture on ethylene oxide sterilization. Appl. Microbiol. 12: 496–503.
- Ernst, R. R. & J. J. Shull. 1962. Ethylene oxide gaseous sterilization: II. Influence of method of humidification. Appl. Microbiol. 10: 342-344.

NTES DTES Unsun	rus 📋	
	ibution/ lability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special	
A-1	20	

